In honour of Fibonacci.

Studying an artificial intelligence approach to programming the other day --I read the most weird documents!-- I was reminded of the Fibonacci sequence, given by

$$F_1 = 0 , \quad F_2 = 1 ,$$
$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2} \qquad (-\text{inf} < n < +\text{inf}) \quad .$$

For $N \geq 2$ the relation

R: $\quad x = F_N$

is trivially established by the program

$$y, x, i := 0, 1, 2 \ \{y = F_{i-1} \ \underline{\text{and}} \ x = F_i \ \underline{\text{and}} \ 2 \leq i \leq N\}; \qquad (1)$$
$$\underline{\text{do}} \ i \neq N \rightarrow y, x, i := x, x + y, i + 1 \ \underline{\text{od}} \ \{R\}$$

a program with a time-complexity proportional to N; I remembered --although I did not know the formulae-- that R can also be established in a number of operations proportional to log(N) and wondered --as a matter of fact: I still wonder-- how proponents of "program transformations" propose to transform the linear algorithm (1) into the logarithmic one.

Yesterday evening I was wondering whether I could reconstruct the logarithmic scheme for the Fibonacci sequence, and whether similar schemes existed for higher order recurrence relations (for a $k \geq 2$):

$$F_1 = F_2 = \ldots = F_{k-1} = 0 , \quad F_k = 1$$
$$F_n = F_{n-1} + \ldots + F_{n-k} \qquad (-\text{inf} < n < +\text{inf}) \qquad (2)$$

Eventually I found a way of deriving these schemes. For $k = 2$, the normal Fibonacci numbers, the method leads to the well-known formulae

$$F_{2j} = F_j^{\,2} + F_{j+1}^{\,2}$$
$$F_{2j+1} = (2F_j + F_{j+1}) * F_{j+1} \quad \text{or} \quad F_{2j-1} = (2F_{j+1} - F_j) * F_j \quad .$$

This note is written, because I liked my general derivation. I shall describe it for $k = 3$.

Because for $k = 3$ we have $F_1 = F_2 = 0$ and $F_3 = 1$ we may write

$$F_n = F_3 * F_n + (F_2 + F_1) * F_{n-1} + F_2 * F_{n-2} \quad . \qquad (3)$$

From (3) we deduce the truth of

$$F_n = F_{i+3} * F_{n-i} + (F_{i+2} + F_{i+1}) * F_{n-i-1} + F_{i+2} * F_{n-i-2} \qquad (4)$$

for $i = 0$. The truth of (4) for all positive values of i is derived by mathematical induction; the induction step consists of

1) substituting $F_{n-i-1} + F_{n-i-2} + F_{n-i-3}$ for F_{n-i}

2) combining after rearrangement $F_{i+3} + F_{i+2} + F_{i+1}$ into F_{i+4} .

(The proof for negative values of i is done by performing the induction step the other way round.)

Substituting in (4) $n = 2j$ and $i = j-1$ we get

$$F_{2j} = F_{j+2} * F_{j+1} + (F_{j+1} + F_j) * F_j + F_{j+1} * F_{j-1}$$

and, by substituting $F_{j+2} - F_{j+1} - F_j$ for F_{j-1} , and subsequent rearranging

$$F_{2j} = F_j^2 + (2F_{j+2} - F_{j+1}) * F_{j+1} \quad . \qquad (5)$$

Substituting in (4) $n = 2j+1$ and $i = j-1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_{2j+1} &= F_{j+2}^2 + (F_{j+1} + F_j) * F_{j+1} + F_{j+1} * F_j \\ &= (2F_j + F_{j+1}) * F_{j+1} + F_{j+2}^2 \end{aligned} \qquad (6)$$

Formulae (5) and (6) were the ones I was after.

Note. For $k = 4$ the analogue to (4) is

$$\begin{aligned} F_n = {} & F_{i+4} * F_{n-i} + (F_{i+3} + F_{i+2} + F_{i+1}) * F_{n-i-1} + \\ & (F_{i+3} + F_{i+2}) * F_{n-i-2} + F_{i+3} * F_{n-i-3} \end{aligned}$$

(End of note.)

Plataanstraat 5
5671 AL Nuenen
The Netherlands

prof.dr.Edsger W.Dijkstra
Burroughs Research Fellow